

## Certificate of Analysis

<b>Company:</b> Old Growth Vermont	<b>Sample ID:</b> Grape Gum	<b>Report Date:</b> 11/28/2022
	<b>Lot:</b> N/A	
	<b>Matrix:</b> Flower	<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 11/23/2022
<b>Customer ID:</b> 221024-2	<b>Date Sampled:</b> N/A	<b>Analyst:</b> 011
<b>Grower License #:</b> CLTV0058	<b>Date Received:</b> 11/4/2022	<b>Report ID:</b> C221104CB

### Cannabinoid Summary

Cannabinoid Profile	LOQ (mg/g)	Concentration (mg/g)	Weight (%)
CBDVA	0.0005	<LOQ	<LOQ
CBDV	0.0012	<LOQ	<LOQ
CBDA	0.0008	0.93	0.09
CBGA	0.0008	15.14	1.51
CBG	0.0019	0.58	0.06
CBD	0.0019	<LOQ	<LOQ
THCV	0.0021	<LOQ	<LOQ
CBN	0.0013	<LOQ	<LOQ
Δ9-THC	0.0020	5.79	0.58
Δ8-THC	0.0019	<LOQ	<LOQ
THC-A	0.0034	247.77	24.78
CBC	0.0024	<LOQ	<LOQ
<b>Total THC</b>		223.08	22.31
<b>Total CBD</b>		0.81	0.08
<b>Total Cannabinoids</b>		270.21	27.02

22.31% <b>Total THC</b>	0.08% <b>Total CBD</b>
27.02% <b>Total Cannabinoids</b>	0.58% <b>Δ9-THC</b>
13.04% <b>Percent Moisture</b>	1 : 0 <b>THC : CBD Ratio</b>

Cannabinoids Methodology: High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) using PerkinElmer FLEXAR™ with Photo Diode Array Detector (PDA)

Total CBD and total THC are calculated values, to account for assumed decarboxylation from the acid form (THCA or CBDA) to the neutral form, causing weight loss of the acid group. These values are calculated as follows:

Total THC = (THCA x 0.877) + Δ9-THC      Total CBD = (CBDA x 0.877) + CBD  
 Ratio of Total CBD: Total THC      Reagent Blanks: < LOQs for all analytes

LOQ = The lowest quantity that this method can reliably detect. Any cannabinoid that was not detected is assumed to be less than the stated LOQ (<LOQ).

All results reflect dry weight of material, based on % moisture of the sample.

Measurement of Uncertainty (MU): the parameter, associated with the result of a measurement, that characterizes the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the particular quantity subject to measurement.

Δ9-THC MU = ±0.005%      Total THC MU = ±0.007%

All other cannabinoid MU values are available upon request.

All moisture analysis is determined by loss-on-drying measurement using OHAUS Model MB90 Moisture Content Readers.



This report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory. This is to provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context. Results apply to the samples as received.

Certified by: Luke E.M.  
 Luke Emerson Mason (Laboratory Director, Bia Diagnostics)

## Certificate of Analysis

**Company:** Old Growth Vermont

**Sample ID:** Grape Gum

**Lot:** N/A

**Report Date:** 12/1/2022

**Customer ID:** 221024-2

**Matrix:** Flower

**Date Analyzed:** 11/29/2022

**Grower License #:** CLTV0058

**Date Sampled:** N/A

**Analyst:** 035

**Date Received:** 11/4/2022

**Report ID:** C221104CB

### Terpenes Summary

Terpene	LOQ (mg/g)	Results (mg/g)	Weight (%)
$\alpha$ - Pinene	0.010	0.840	0.084
Camphene	0.010	0.033	0.003
$\beta$ -Myrcene	0.010	2.999	0.300
b-Pinene	0.010	1.491	0.149
3-Carene	0.010	<LOQ	<LOQ
$\alpha$ -Terpinene	0.010	0.413	0.041
Limonene	0.010	1.846	0.185
p-Cymene	0.010	<LOQ	<LOQ
Ocimene	0.010	1.874	0.187
Eucalyptol	0.010	0.124	0.012
$\gamma$ -Terpinene	0.010	0.339	0.034
Terpinolene	0.010	5.471	0.547
Linalool	0.010	0.357	0.036
Isopulegol	0.010	<LOQ	<LOQ
Geraniol	0.010	<LOQ	<LOQ
Caryophyllene	0.010	3.582	0.358
$\alpha$ -Humulene	0.010	1.784	0.178
Trans-Nerolidol	0.010	<LOQ	<LOQ
Cis-Nerolidol	0.010	<LOQ	<LOQ
Guaiol	0.010	<LOQ	<LOQ
Caryophyllene Oxide	0.010	0.031	0.003
$\alpha$ -Bisabolol	0.010	<LOQ	<LOQ
<b>Total Terpenes</b>		<b>21.184</b>	<b>2.117</b>

**13.04%**
**Percent  
Moisture**

LOQ = The lowest quantity this method can reliably detect. Any terpene that was not detected is assumed to be less than the stated LOQ (<LOQ).

Terpene Methodology: Headspace Sampler, Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS), using Perkin Elmer Clarus® SQ8 GC MS

Reagent Blanks: &lt; LOQs for all analytes

All results reflect dry weight of material, based on % moisture of the sample.

All moisture analysis is determined by loss-on-drying measurement using OHAUS Model MB90 Moisture Content Readers.



This report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory. This is to provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context. Results apply to the samples as received.

Certified by:



Luke Emerson Mason (Laboratory Director, Bia Diagnostics)



### Certificate of Analysis

**Company:** Old Growth Vermont

**Sample ID:** Harvest Lot

**Lot:** CLTV0058-001

**Report Date:** 11/30/2022

**Matrix:** Flower

**Date Analyzed:** 11/30/2022

**Customer ID:** 221024-2

**Date Sampled:** N/A

**Analyst:** 018

**Grower License #:** CLTV0058

**Date Received:** 11/4/2022

**Report ID:** C221104CM

### Pathogen Summary

Target Pathogens	Method	LOD (cfu/g)	Result (cfu/g)
Aspergillus - flavus, fumigatus, niger, terreus	Aspergillus AOAC PTM No. 032104	5	<LOD
STEC	STEC Virx AOAC PTM No. 121203	5	<LOD
Salmonella spp.	Salmonella II AOAC PTM No. 010803	5	<LOD



Test Methodology: Bio-Rad IQ-Check PCR Kits


cfu/g = colony forming units per gram

LOD = The lowest quantity that this method can reliably detect. Any microbial growth that was not detected is assumed to be less than the stated LOD (&lt;LOD).

Reagent Blanks: &lt;LOD for all analytes

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory. This is to provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context. Results apply to the samples as received.

Certified by:



Luke Emerson Mason (Laboratory Director, Bia Diagnostics)

## Certificate of Analysis

<b>Company:</b> Old Growth Vermont	<b>Sample ID:</b> Harvest Lot	<b>Report Date:</b> 12/8/2022
	<b>Lot:</b> CLTV0058-001	<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 12/1/2022
<b>Customer ID:</b> 221024-2	<b>Matrix:</b> Flower	<b>Analyst:</b> 045
<b>Grower License #:</b> CLTV0058	<b>Date Sampled:</b> N/A	<b>Report ID:</b> C221104CM
	<b>Date Received:</b> 11/4/2022	

## Pesticides/Mycotoxins Summary

Category II Residual Pesticide	LOQ (ppm)	Concentration (ppm)
Abamectin	0.0100	<LOQ
Acephate	0.0010	<LOQ
Acequinocyl	0.0010	<LOQ
Azoxystrobin	0.0010	<LOQ
Bifenazate	0.0010	<LOQ
Bifenthrin	0.0010	<LOQ
Carbaryl	0.0010	<LOQ
Cypermethrin	0.0100	<LOQ
Etoxazole	0.0010	<LOQ
Imidacloprid	0.0010	<LOQ
Myclobutanil	0.0010	<LOQ
Pyrethrin I	0.0010	<LOQ
Pyrethrin II	0.0010	<LOQ
Spinosyn A	0.0010	<LOQ
Spinosyn D	0.0010	<LOQ

Category II Mycotoxin	LOQ (ppm)	Concentration (ppm)
Ochratoxin A	0.0020	NOT TESTED
Aflatoxin B1	0.0002	NOT TESTED
Alfatoxin B2	0.0010	NOT TESTED
Alfatoxin G1	0.0002	NOT TESTED
Alfatoxin G2	0.0010	NOT TESTED

Category I Residual Pesticide	LOQ (ppm)	Concentration (ppm)
Chlorpyrifos	0.0010	<LOQ
Imazalil	0.0010	<LOQ

**12.54%**

**Percent  
Moisture**



LOQ = The lowest quantity this method can reliably detect. Any pesticide or mycotoxins that was not detected is assumed to be less than the stated LOQ (<LOQ).

All results reflect dry weight of material, based on % moisture of the sample.

ppb = parts per billion

Pesticides/Mycotoxin Methodology: Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry using PerkinElme QSight® LX50 UHPLC and QSight 220 Mass Spectrometer

All moisture analysis is determined by loss-on-drying measurement using OHAUS Model MB90 Moisture Content Readers.

Certified by: *Luke E. M.*  
 Luke Emerson Mason (Laboratory Director, Bia Diagnostics)

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the laboratory. This is to provide assurance that parts of a report are not taken out of context.  
 Results apply to the samples as received.